

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-68 were pending of which Claims 1-10, 12-14, 18-27, 29-31, 35-44, 46-48, 52-61, 63-65 were rejected and Claims 11, 15-17, 28, 32-34, 45, 49-51, 62, and 66-68 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected independent claim but indicated that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1, 18, 35, and 52 have been amended to include the limitations of Claims 11, 28, 45, and 62, respectively. Furthermore, Claims 15, 32, 49, and 66 have been amended to be in independent form and to include the limitations of any intervening claims. Thus, the scope of the amended claims has not been narrowed. Please note that due to an obvious and inadvertent clerical error, original Claim 49 erroneously depended from Claim 44. In rewriting Claim 49 to be in independent form, Applicant included the limitations of Claim 48 and 35 rather than Claim 44 and 35.

More specifically, Claims 11, 28, 45, and 62 are cancelled in this amendment. Claims 1, 12, 15, 18, 29, 32, 35, 46, 49 52, 63 and 66 are amended.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of Claim 11.

Claim 12 has been amended to depend from Claim 1 rather than cancelled Claim 11.

Claim 15 has been amended to be in independent form and to include the limitation of Claims 14 and 1.

Claim 18 has been amended to include the limitation of Claim 28.

Claim 29 has been amended to depend from Claim 18 rather than cancelled claim 28.

Claim 32 has been amended to be in independent form and to include the limitations of Claims 31 and 1.

Claim 35 has been amended to include the limitations of Claim 45.

Claim 46 has been amended to depend from Claim 35 rather than cancelled Claim 45.

Claim 49 has been amended to be in independent form and to include the limitations of Claims 48 and 35. Due to a clerical error, Claim 49 had erroneously depended on Claim 44 but clearly should have depended from Claim 48.

Claim 52 has been amended to include the limitations of Claim 62.

Claim 63 has been amended to depend from Claim 52 rather than cancelled Claim 62.

Claim 66 has been amended to be in independent form and include the limitations of Claims 65 and 52.

No new Matter is added in this amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-10, 12-14, 18-27, 29-31, 35-44, 46-48, 52-61, and 63-65 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Hsu (U.S. 6,804,394). However, The Examiner also stated that "Claims 11, 15-17, 28, 32-34, 45, 49-51, 62, 66-68, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims."

While Applicant does not agree with the rejection of Claims 1-10, 12-14, 18-27, 29-31, 35-44, 46-48, 52-61, and 63-65, Applicant as decided at this time to amend the claims to write the objected to claims in independent form to allow issuance of the allowed subject matter. Applicant reserves the right to pursue

the rejected claims in continuation applications. Thus, Applicant respectfully submit that the rejection of Claims 1-10, 12-14, 18-27, 29-31, 35-44, 46-48, 52-61, and 63-65 are rendered moot but the amendment and deletions described below. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 1-10, 12-14, 18-27, 29-31, 35-44, 46-48, 52-61, and 63-65.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of Claim 11 and Claim 11 is cancelled. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that amended Claim 1 is allowable. Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits Claims 2-10 and 12-14, which depend from Claim 1 are likewise allowable.

Claim 15 has been amended to be in independent form and to include the limitation of Claims 14 and 1. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 15 is allowable. Furthermore Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 16 and 17, which depend from Claim 15 are likewise allowable.

Claim 18 has been amended to include the limitations of original Claim 28 and Claim 28 is cancelled. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that amended Claim 18 is allowable. Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits Claims 19-27 and 29-31, which depend from Claim 18 are likewise allowable.

Claim 32 has been amended to be in independent form and to include the limitations of Claims 31 and 1. . Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 32 is allowable. Furthermore Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 33 and 34, which depend from Claim 32 are likewise allowable.

Claim 35 has been amended to include the limitations of original Claim 45 and Claim 45 is cancelled. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that amended Claim 35 is allowable.

Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 36-44 and 46-48, which depend from Claim 35 are likewise allowable.

Claim 49 has been amended to be in independent form and to include the limitations of Claims 48 and 35. Due to a clerical error, original Claim 49 had erroneously depended on Claim 44 but clearly should have depended from Claim 48. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 49 is allowable.

Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 50 and 51 which depend from Claim 49 are likewise allowable.

Claim 52 has been amended to include the limitations of original Claim 62 and claim 62 is cancelled. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 52 is allowable. Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 53-61 and 63-65, which depend from Claim 52, are likewise allowable.

Claim 66 has been amended to be in independent form and include the limitations of Claims 65 and 52. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 66 is allowable. Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 67-68, which depend on from Claim 66 are likewise allowable.

Conclusion

Claims 11, 28, 45, and 63 have been cancelled, Claims 1, 12, 15, 18, 29, 32, 35, 46, 49, 52, 63 and 66 have been amended. Thus, Claims 1-10, 12-27, 29-44, 46-62, and 64-68 remain pending. For the above reasons, Applicants respectfully request allowance of all pending Claims. Should the Examiner have any questions concerning this response, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (408) 857-0559.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward Mao

Edward S. Mao
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 40,713

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "FIRST CLASS"

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the below date.

Edward Mao

Edward S. Mao

October 2, 2008

Date